

Index

- accountability 244–6
- argument
 - checklist for checking 49–67
 - clarifying ideas in 67–70
 - compared to assertion 40
 - criticism of
 - common logical errors 84–7
 - introduced 73–6
 - invalidity test 87–90
 - logic criticism introduced 77;
see also logic criticism
 - premise criticism introduced 97–8;
see also premise, criticism
 - patching logical holes 90–95, 217–21
 - patterns
 - means/end, outlined 65–7
 - set inclusion, outlined 64–5
 - types
 - chained 115–16, 167, 170–71
 - independent conclusion 171–2
 - independent rationale 169, 170,
174–5
 - joint conclusion 172–3
 - joint rationale 168–9, 170, 175–9
 - simple 170, 180–82
 - structuring 41–8
 - and inference words 42–6
- consequentialist ethics 268–70, 282–3
- deep moral clashes 131–4, 182–9, 213–16
- descriptive ethics 264
- deontological ethics 270–73
- ‘development’, *see* ‘growth’ and
‘development’
- dispute closures 201–13, 216–17, 222–4
- duties and rights 259–61
- equity 246–51
- ethical theory, broad types of 264–6
- ethics and religion 283–4, 289–90, 292–3
- extended enquiry
 - broad features of 154–8
 - complexities concerning, overview of
159–61
 - closures, *see* dispute closures
- freedom 255–9
- ‘growth’ and ‘development’ 239–42
- hybrid normative ethical views 273–4
- inference words
 - insertion of 43–6
 - introduced 42–3
- invalidity test 87–90
- logic criticism
 - common logical errors 84–7
 - invalidity test 87–90
 - of means/end arguments 82–6
 - patching logical holes 90–95, 217–21
 - of set inclusion arguments 78–82
- ‘maximizing potential’ 242–4
- means/end arguments
 - common logical faults of 84–7
 - outlined 65–7
- metacognition
 - introduced 120–21
- metacognitive
 - deliberation
 - contrasted with metacognitive
reviews 129–30
 - explained 135–8
 - possible problems with 142–3
 - reviews
 - contrasted with metacognitive
deliberation 129–30

- explained 130–34
- tilts and deep moral clashes 131–4, 182–9, 193–201, 213–16
- voices 146–50, 190–93
- ‘needs’ 229–37
 - and wants 235–6
- meta-ethics 265–6, 274–94
 - objectivism 275–6, 278–90
 - naturalism 278–84
 - non-naturalism 284–90
 - subjectivism 276–7, 291–4
- normative ethics 265, 267–74
- patching logical holes 90–95, 217–21
- premise
 - criticism
 - common architecture of 100–101
 - a common error of 109–11
 - a common technique of 111–13
 - of conceptual premises 101–4
 - of descriptive premises 98–101
 - introduction 97–8
 - of moral premises 104–7
 - defence
 - of conceptual premises 114–15
 - of descriptive premises 114
 - introduction 113–14
 - of moral premises 115
- propositions
 - aesthetic 26–7
 - ambiguous
 - introduced 24–5
 - summary of 27–8, 34
 - conceptual 30–34
 - criticism of 101–4
 - defence of 114–15
 - disputes involving 165–7
 - descriptive
 - contrasted with moral 13–28
 - criticism of 98–101
 - defence of 114
 - disputes involving 161–4
 - summary of 27
 - mixed 28–30, 34
 - moral
 - clue words for 21–5
 - contrasted with descriptive 13–28
 - criticism of 104–7
 - defence of 115
 - summary description of 27
 - ‘relevant’ 237–8
 - religion and ethics 283–4, 289–90, 292–3
 - respect 251–4
 - rights and duties 259–61
 - set inclusion arguments
 - common logical fault of 86–7
 - outlined 64–5
 - tilts and deep moral clashes 131–4, 182–9, 193–201, 213–16
 - tolerance 254–5
 - ‘useful’ 239
 - voices 146–50, 190–93